DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

FEOSTAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

601 Thirteenth Street N.W. Washington, DC 20005

Telephone 202 783-5070

Facsimile 202 783-2331

Web Site www.fr.com

Frederick P. Fish 1855-1930

W.K. Richardson 1859-1951 July 30, 1999

By Hand Delivery

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas Secretary Federal Communications Commission The Portals TW-A325 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

BOSTON

NEW YORK

Re:

Fusion Lighting, Inc.

ET Docket No. 98-42 Our File 07330/008001

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

TWIN CITIES

SILICON VALLEY

Dear Ms. Salas:

WASHINGTON, DC

I am enclosing recent correspondence for the above-referenced file.

Very truly yours,

Terry G. M

/bab

Enclosure/Original & Copy

cc:

Service List

100417.W11

No. of Copies rec'd OHList ABCDE

SERVICE LIST

Chairman William E. Kennard Federal Communications Commission 445-12th Street, S.W. Room 8-B201 Washington, DC 20554

Mr. Ari Fitzgerald
Office of Chairman Kennard
Federal Communications Commission
445-12th Street, S.W.
Room 8-B201
Washington, DC 20554

Commissioner Susan Ness Federal Communications Commission 445-12th Street, S.W. Room 8-B115 Washington, DC 20554

Mr. Daniel Conners
Office of Commissioner Ness
Federal Communications Commission
445-12th Street, S.W.
Room 8-B115
Washington, DC 20554

Commissioner Harold Furchtgott-Roth Federal Communications Commission 445-12th Street, S.W. Room 8-A302 Washington, DC 20554

Mr. Paul Misener Office of Commissioner Furchtgott-Roth Federal Communications Commission 445-12th Street, S.W. Room 8-A302 Washington, DC 20554

Commissioner Michael K. Powell Federal Communications Commission 445-12th Street, S.W. Room 8-A204 Washington, DC 20554 Mr. Peter A. Tenhula Office of Commissioner Powell Federal Communications Commission 445-12th Street, S.W. Room 8-A204 Washington, DC 20554

Commissioner Gloria Tristani Federal Communications Commission 445-12th Street, S.W. Room 8-C301 Washington, DC 20554

Ms. Karen Gulick Office of Commissioner Tristani Federal Communications Commission 445-12th Street, S.W. Room 8-C301 Washington, DC 20554

Julius P. Knapp Chief, Policy and Rules Division Office of Engineering and Technology Federal Communications Commission 2000 M Street, N.W., Suite 480 Washington, D.C. 20554

Ms. Karen Rackley Chief, Technical Rules Branch Office of Engineering & Technology Federal Communications Commission 2000 M Street, N.W., Suite 480 Washington, D.C. 20554

David C. Jatlow, Esq. Young & Jatlow 1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 420 Washington, D.C. 20036

Larry Solomon, Esq.
Shook, Hardy & Bacon L.L.P.
Hamilton Square
600 14th Street, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20005-2004

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

601 Thirteenth Street N.W. Washington, DC 20005

Telephone 202 783-5070

Facsimile 202 783-2331

Web Site

Frederick P. Fish 1855-1930

W.K. Richardson 1859-1951

July 29, 1999

Larry Solomon, Esq. Shook, Hardy & Bacon L.L.P. Hamilton Square 600 14th Street, NW, Suite 800 Washington, DC 20005-2004

Re: Our File 07330/008001

BOSTON

NEW YORK

NEW YORK

SILICON VALLEY

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

TWIN CITIES

WASHINGTON, DC

Dear Larry:

This is to memorialize our telephone conversation earlier today and to put this matter on the public record.

On July 16th, I sent you a letter, on behalf of Fusion Lighting, requesting that your client, Metricom, answer two questions to clarify certain statements made in recent filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). As my letter made clear, Fusion wishes to understand how Metricom can be telling the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) that its 2.45 GHz telecom service cannot tolerate interference from RF lighting devices while, at the same time, telling prospective investors in its SEC filings that it believes it can overcome such interference even without limits on RF lighting emissions.

You state that you have been unable to obtain a response from Metricom to Fusion's questions. Fusion finds this unacceptable. Accordingly, we are apprising the FCC of these concerns, as they bear directly on matters now before that agency.

Although this is not yet Fusion's concern, it would also appear that the apparent inconsistency may open Metricom's SEC and shareholder disclosures to questions.

Very truly yours,

Terry G. Mahn

/bab

cc: Fusion Lighting

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

601 Thirteenth Street N.W. Washington, DC 20005

Telephone 202 783-5070

Facsimile 202 783-2331

Web Site

Frederick P. Fish 1855-1930

W.K. Richardson 1859-1951

July 16, 1999

Larry Solomon, Esq.
Shook, Hardy & Bacon L.L.P.
Hamilton Square
600 14th Street, NW
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20005-2004

BOSTON

Re:

Our File 07330/008001

SILICON VALLEY

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

.

TWIN CITIES

WASHINGTON, DC

Dear Larry:

Although we have been corresponding with Mitchell Lazarus on the proposals of the Part 15 interests (including Metricom), after reading your client's SEC filings, we need to direct two questions to your client individually.

In the 8-K recently filed by Metricom regarding the sale of Metricom stock to MCI WorldCom and Vulcan Ventures, Metricom states in the Risk Factors sections:

"If the FCC chooses not to limit the power generated by RF lighting devices in the 2.4 GHz band, RF lighting devices could interfere with [Metricom's] operations in certain discrete geographic areas. While we believe we could overcome such interference, if any, there can be no assurance that the proliferation of RF lighting devices in the 2.4 GHz band would not have a material adverse affect on our business, financial condition and results of operations."

Metricom also has publicly endorsed a technical analysis showing that a 70 meter separation between a single theoretical RF light and an FHSS system like Metricom's" is required to achieve reliable operations". Metricom further knows that Fusion's lighting devices may be up to 100 times more powerful than the one that Metricom postulated in that analysis and are designed to operate in many, if not all, of the same geographic locations as Metricom systems.

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

July 16, 1999 Page 2

We have two questions, therefore:

- 1. In which "discrete geographic areas" could RF lighting devices interfere with Metricom's operations?
- 2. Specifically, how does Metricom believe it could "overcome such interference"? Please refer us to any communications in which Metricom has advised the FCC the ways in which it can overcome such interference.

We look forward to your prompt response.

Very truly yours,

Terry G. Mahn

/seg

99944.W11